IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WPC (OAC) No. 472 of 2004

Hemanta Kumar Sahoo ….. ***Petitioner***

Mr. M.K. Mohanty-2, Advocate

Vs.

State of Odisha and others ….. ***Opposite parties***

State Counsel

CORAM:
DR. JUSTICE B.R. SARANGI

ORDER
17.12.2021

Order No.
1

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard.

3. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking direction to opposite party No.2 to consider his representation under Annexure-4 series and to appoint him in any suitable post under Rehabilitation Assistance Scheme within a stipulated period.

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner states that highlighting the grievances, the petitioner has made representations to opposite party no.2 vide Annexure-4 series and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing opposite party no.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-4 series, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules.

Ashok

(DR. B.R. SARANGI)
JUDGE

HIGH COURT
ORISSA